UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,076	07/31/2003	Serge Lasserre	TI-35424	2218
	7590 07/02/2000 LUMENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER		
POBOX 6554		GU, SHAWN X		
DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2189		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/632,076 LASSERRE I		
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Shawn X. Gu	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Armo event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the contract of the con	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of claims 1,2,5,6,10,11,13,14,22,23,25 and 26.</li> </ol>			,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-9,12-18,22,23,25 and 26. Claim(s) objected to: 21. Claim(s) rejected: 19,20,24 and 27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the extended Information Displaceure Statement(s).</li> </ul>		condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	r i O/SB/U8) Paper No(s)		
/Reginald G. Bragdon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2189			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant failed to amend or cancel independent claim 19 as suggested in the Remarks filed 22 January 2007 (see page 10, first paragraph). The Applicant also failed to address or traverse the outstanding prior art rejection against claim 19 under 35 USC 102(b). Therefore, the rejection against claim 19 under 35 USC 102(b) stands and the amendment is not entered. Regarding the Applicant's traversal of the Election/Restriction requirement made in the final Office action, the traversal is on the ground that the Examiner did not set forth an argument and facts supporting that a "serious burden" exists in the search and examination of claim 28. This is not found persuasive because the Examiner established serious burden by showing that each invention has attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for invention effort, and also a separate field of search in separate classification (see MPEP 808.02, "[p]atents need not be cited to show separate classification). Furthermore, the Examiner established that claims 1-27 are directed to a caching system and a method to determine when to cache certain data from an external memory and are classified in class 711/118, and that claim 28 is directed to a backup and restore method related to a context switch, classified in class 711/161 and 711/162 (see final Office action). Since the Applicant failed to distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the requirement is maintained and claim 28 remains withdrawn from consideration. The Applicant is also reminded that the application has become effectively abandoned as it is not in condition of allowance and the statutory period of reply has expired without a filing of Notice of Appeal or Request for Continued Examination. Finally, although the Applicant authorized an Examiner's amendment to cancel claim 28 if it will result in a Notice of Allowance (see Remarks, page 10, last paragraph), the Office cannot issue an Examiner's amendment after the end of the statutory period for reply.